1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 MARTHA BERNDT, et al., 8 No. C03-3174 TEH (BZ) Plaintiff(s), 9 ORDER v. 10 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF 11 CORRECTIONS, et al., 12 Defendant(s). 13 14 15 Arlene Branch, who is apparently a member of John Burris's staff has been repeatedly contacting the Court in an 16 17 improper effort to obtain a continuance of the December 2, 18 2010 hearing. Rather than filing a motion for administrative relief in accordance with Local Rule 7-11, Mr. Burris has 19 2.0 chosen to proceed informally, even though the Court 21 understands that the defendants are opposed. All parties are 22 admonished that my staff are prohibited from providing legal 23 advice and that the parties are expected to follow the Local 24 Rules of Court. 25 Dated: October 20, 2010 26

Bernard Zimmerman
United States Magistrate Judge

G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\BERNDT V. CA. DEPT OF CORRECTIONS\ORDER RE CONTACTING COURT.wpd

27

28